



Vlaanderen  
is open ruimte



VLAAMSE  
LAND  
MAATSCHAPPIJ

- ▶ ENRD TG Making the seven Leader principles work in practice
- ▶ 10/03/2022
- ▶ Contact: [wouter.peeters@vlm.be](mailto:wouter.peeters@vlm.be) or LinkedIn

# What's at stake?

- ▶ Evaluation of current Leader program
  - Finding a healthy balance between bottom-up and top-down (green deal, farm-to fork, smart villages, ...)
  - Provide more tools to assure innovation and quality
  - Broaden the network of potential beneficiaries and LAG-members → more grass-roots movements instead of institutions)
- ▶ External review of current mechanisms to judge and approve project applications (and by extension LDS)
  - Comparison with other Member States
  - Workshops with MA and LAG coordinators
- ▶ Result:
  - Framework of procedure to judge and approve project applications
  - Toolkit with additional methods to guarantee quality and innovation

# Before and after: introduction

- ▶ Belgium is complex... Multi-layered governance structure
  - Regional governments of Flanders (Dutch-speaking) and Wallonia (French-speaking) are considered as two parts of the same member state, each with its own RDP
  - Provincial governments as co-financing partners
  - LAGs operate in the lap of provincial governments

# Before and after: designing LDS

|                                     | Current program   | Coming program  |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Design Local Development Strategies | Each LAG on its own   | Based on ENRD guidance<br>Facilitated by MA and provinces                                   |
| Approval of LDS                     | Technical advise by MA,<br>decision by Provincial Management Committees         | Technical advise by independent experts and approval by Regional Management Committee       |
| LAG Composition                     | 50-50 public (municipalities and provincial governments) & civil sector (NGO's) | Public, civil and private sector → more local entrepreneurs, engaged residents, experts etc |

# Before and after: implementing LDS

|  | Current period  | Coming period   |
|--|---|---|
| Project broker   | LAG manager to some extent  | LAG manager and LAG members                                       |
| Check on eligibility (formalities like completeness etc) | LAG manager   | MA  |
| Technical review (is it a good project?)                 | LAG   | LAG, with support of external, independent experts like academics |
| Project approval (is it a good LEADER project?)          | LAG   | LAG   |
| Additional tools during project approval                 | Pitching sessions for beneficiaries with Q&A, external review of investment projects by board of architects, landscape experts etc, micro- & umbrella projects to keep smaller beneficiaries involved |   |